3:15-cv-04673-JFA Date Filed 02/25/16 Entry Number 22 Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Charl	es G	reen,	#200)072	١.

C/A No. 5:15-4673-JFA-SVH

Plaintiff.

vs.

State of South Carolina,

ORDER

Defendant.

Charles Green ("Green"), proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this 42 U.S.C § 1983 action seeking a declaratory judgment "regarding his right to be appointed counsel due to being illiterate." (ECF No. 1 at 4).

The Magistrate Judge assigned to this action¹ prepared a Report and Recommendation wherein she recommends that this Court dismiss this case without prejudice and without issuance of service of process. (ECF No. 19). The Report and Recommendation sets forth the relevant facts and standards of law in this matter, and the Court incorporates such without a recitation.

The parties were advised of their right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation, which was entered on the docket on January 29, 2016. However, no objections were filed. In the absence of specific objections to the Report of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

After carefully reviewing the applicable laws, the record in this case, and the Report and Recommendation, this Court finds that the Magistrate Judge's recommendation fairly and accurately

¹ The Magistrate Judge's review is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

3:15-cv-04673-JFA Date Filed 02/25/16 Entry Number 22 Page 2 of 2

summarizes the facts and properly applies the correct principles of law. The Report is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

February 25, 2016 Columbia, South Carolina Joseph F. Anderson, Jr. United States District Judge

Joseph F. anderson J.